From:

Darin Smith

To:

<jorchard@utah.gov>

Date:

5/17/2010 8:24 AM

Subject:

FW: Medtronic Pacemakers and Power line issue

Attachments:

Darren Smith (1).doc; EMC Worksite Patient Standard Letter.pdf

To the board,

After a lengthy phone call conversation/explanation of what our situation was, this is the response I received. Mike Krogh from Medtronics was the other person involved in the conference call on 5/13/2010 @ 1:28 p.m. When asked what could happen with the exposure he admitted that Vivian could very likely at least "pass out" from an exposure that did interfere with the normal pacemaker function. My simple question to Mike and Rob from Medtronics was "if this was your daughter would this exposure be acceptable to you?" and Mike specifically said "no, it wouldn't." All along I've been interested in making a point in saying that I'm not interested in the probability of something happening, but rather the possibility of it happening, if so, that would deem the situation unacceptable for my daughter. I moved here and built my home in this location to have peace, quiet and safety. Now that is in jeopardy despite what a lengthy physics lesson might or admittedly might not prove.

So can this possibility of danger be mitigated for entirely? No! They won't agree to say there is no risk, so what gave Brandon Smith the right to get on the stand and make it sound like the risk did not exist? This is at least borderline perjury. They can excuse themselves all they want because we are just "emotional" citizens. Quite frankly we are emotional, but with valid cause and solid reasoning. The board will see that R.M.P. has only have one reason, money. Senator Menlove gave an amazing recap of her local situation and if that didn't explain the situation for us objectively I don't know what would as a second

Please understand that we have valid reason and have followed the due process of denial. Please don't allow this to be forced upon us as we will be the only ones affected permanently. The money supposedly saved in a "shorter line" will be absorbed in the EPA clean up and the need to build over a mountain. amongst other on going legal issues that will undoubtedly follow. Utility corridors exist for a reason, let's hope they can utilize those instead of trampling all over our land and realistically put us in harms ways. Would this be acceptable to you as a board? Please use your moral integrity to answer. Thank you so much for your time.

Sincerely,

Darin T. Smith

From: To: dts

Date: Fri, 14 May 2010 14:20:00 -0500

Subject: Medtronic Pacemakers and Power Distribution

om